

# Partitioning of proteins between an aqueous solution and a weakly-ionizable polyelectrolyte hydrogel

Jianzhong Wu, Alex P. Sassi, Harvey W. Blanch and John M. Prausnitz\* Chemical Engineering Department, University of California, Berkeley, and Chemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA (Received 6 June 1995)

To predict partitioning of proteins between a temperature- and pH-sensitive hydrogel and its surrounding aqueous solution, it is appropriate to use Schnitzer's method for determining solute partitioning based on size exclusion and the cell model for polyelectrolyte solutions. The mean-pore size of the hydrogel is calculated using the method of Peppas *et al.* The charge of the network, which depends on pH and salt concentration, is calculated using weak-polyelectrolyte-titration theory. De Gennes' scaling relation and Odijk's wormlike model are used to determine the persistence length and the radius of gyration of the polyelectrolyte chain in the hydrogel. For N-isopropylacrylamide gels copolymerized with sodium acrylate or 2-dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate, predicted partition coefficients for cytochrome-C are in semiquantitative agreement with experiment. Copyright  $\bigcirc$  1996 Elsevier Science Ltd.

(Keywords: temperature- and pH-sensitive hydrogel; polyelectrolyte; phase equilibrium)

#### INTRODUCTION

Much attention has been directed in recent years toward hydrogels which undergo large volume changes in response to small variations in solution conditions such as pH or temperature<sup>1</sup>. These pH- and temperaturesensitive hydrogels have been suggested for a variety of applications including controlled drug delivery<sup>2</sup>, immobilized enzyme reactors<sup>3</sup>, and separation of aqueous proteins<sup>4</sup>. For these applications, it is useful to consider the thermodynamics of solutes partitioning between a hydrogel and its surrounding solution.

If there are no specific interactions between solutes and the polymer matrix, a size-exclusion model can be used to predict the distribution of a solute between the gel and its surrounding bath<sup>5,6</sup>. However, this method is insufficient for partitioning of proteins and other biomolecules between a polyelectrolyte gel and an aqueous solution. Recently, a molecular-thermodynamic method was developed to predict semi-quantitatively partitioning of proteins and small ions into a charged hydrogel<sup>7-</sup> This method couples a size-exclusion model with a cell model for polyelectrolyte solutions<sup>9,10</sup>. In this paper, we extend the previous method to analyse partition behaviour of cytochrome-C into two types of weak-ionizable temperature-sensitive N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPA) hydrogels: a negatively-ionizable hydrogel synthesized from NIPA and sodium acrylate (SA); and a positivelyionizable hydrogel synthesized from NIPA and 2dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate (DMA). The degree of ionization of the network is determined using polyelectrolyte titration theory. The size of a polyelectrolyte

## PARTITION COEFFICIENT AND PHASE EQUILIBRIUM

For a protein which distributes between a hydrogel and the surrounding solution, often called the bath, the equilibrium partition coefficient, K, is defined as

$$K = \frac{C_{\rm g}}{C_{\rm b}} \tag{1}$$

where  $C_g$  is the protein concentration in the hydrogel (based on swollen gel volume) and  $C_b$  is the protein concentration in the bath.

We assume that there are two independent additive contributions to log K. Size-exclusion contribution,  $K_{se}$ , takes into account the influence of excluded volume of hydrogel and protein. Electrostatic interaction contribution  $K_0$  takes into account electrostatic interactions between charged protein and charged polyelectrolyte hydrogel; it is calculated by the equilibrium distribution of the protein between the bath and a non-crosslinked polyelectrolyte solution which has the same concentration and composition as the hydrogel. The overall protein partition coefficient is

$$K = K_{\rm se} K_0 \tag{2}$$

chain inside a hydrogel is found from the scaling theory of de Gennes<sup>11</sup> and from the wormlike model for polyelectrolyte solutions<sup>12,13</sup>. To determine the charge and pore size of the network, we assume that for the low degree of crosslinking considered here, the titration behaviour and the configurational properties of the crosslinked polyelectrolyte chain are the same as those of a single chain in a solution.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed

For the size-exclusion contribution, we use Schnitzer's uniform-pore model<sup>5</sup>:

$$K_{\rm se} = (1 - \phi_{\rm p})(1 - \frac{r_{\rm s}}{r_{\rm c}})^2$$
  $r_{\rm s} \le r_{\rm c}$   
 $K_{\rm se} = 0$   $r_{\rm s} > r_{\rm c}$  (3)

where  $\phi_p$  is the volume fraction of polymer in the gel,  $r_c$  is the mean pore radius of the hydrogel, and  $r_s$  is the radius of the protein solute. Later, we describe calculation of the mean pore radius of the hydrogel.

 $K_0$  can be written as

$$K_0 = \frac{C'_g}{C_b} \tag{4}$$

where  $C'_g$  is the concentration of protein in the noncrosslinked polyelectrolyte solution;  $C'_g$  differs from  $C_g$ , the equilibrium protein concentration inside the hydrogel, because  $C'_g$  does not consider size-exclusion. When the non-crosslinked polyelectrolyte solution is in equilibrium with the bath, the chemical potential of protein salt (i.e. protein ion coupled with its counterion) must be the same in each phase.

$$\mu^{\rm pe} = \mu^{\rm bath} \tag{5}$$

Where  $\mu^{pe}$  is the chemical potential of the protein salt in the polyelectrolyte solution and  $\mu^{bath}$  is the chemical potential of the protein salt in the bath. In the calculation of  $\mu^{pe}$ , we assume that the solution containing buffer and salt is equivalent to a simple monovalent salt solution.

At constant temperature, the chemical potential of the protein salt in the non-crosslinked polyelectrolyte solution is related to concentration  $C'_{g}$  by

$$\mu^{\rm pe} = \mu^0 (P + \Pi) + RT \ln C'_g \gamma_{\pm} \tag{6}$$

where  $\mu^0(P + \Pi)$  is the chemical potential of the protein salt in a hypothetical ideal dilute solution when  $C'_g = 1$  M at system temperature T and pressure  $P + \Pi$ ; P is the system pressure and  $\Pi$  is the elastic pressure of the hydrogel; R is the gas constant. The mean ionic activity coefficient of protein salt is designated by  $\gamma_{\pm}$ .

The elastic pressure of the hydrogel is calculated using the phantom model of gel elasticity<sup>14</sup>

$$\Pi = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\psi}{V_0}\right) RT \left(\frac{\phi_2}{\phi_0}\right)^{1/3} \tag{7}$$

where  $V_0$  is the volume of hydrogel at preparation;  $\psi$  is the number of moles of chains in the gel;  $\phi_2$  is the volume fraction of polymer in the swollen gel and  $\phi_0$  is the volume fraction of polymer at preparation.

The mean activity coefficient of the protein salt is defined by

$$\gamma_{\pm} = (\gamma_p^{z_c} \gamma_c^{z_p})^{1/(z_p + z_c)} \tag{8}$$

where  $\gamma_p$  is the activity coefficient of charged protein;  $\gamma_c$  is the activity coefficient of its counterion;  $z_p$  is the number of charges on one protein molecule;  $z_c$  is the number of charges on one counterion, here equal to unity. Activity coefficients  $\gamma_p$  and  $\gamma_c$  are calculated using the cell model of Gueron and Weisbuch<sup>15</sup>

$$\gamma_{\text{counterion}} = \frac{0.7X/(\xi z_{\text{cn}}) + 1}{X + 1} \tag{9}$$

$$\gamma_{\rm coion} = \frac{0.7X/(\xi z_{\rm co}) + 1}{0.53X/(\xi z_{\rm co}) + 1} \tag{10}$$

where  $X = C_m/C_s$ , the concentration of polymer (as monomer) divided by the salt concentration in the hydrogel;  $\xi = l_B/b$ , where b is the length of one charged monomer, and  $l_B$  is the Bjerrum length, defined by

$$l_{\rm B} = e^2 / (4\pi\varepsilon_0 DkT) \tag{11}$$

where e is electron charge;  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space; k is Boltzmann's constant; D is the dielectric constant of water;  $z_{cn}$  and  $z_{co}$  are the valences of counterion and coion. Here counterion means the ion which has a charge opposite to that of the hydrogel; coion means the ion which has charge identical to that of the hydrogel. Therefore, when the charge of a protein is opposite to that of the hydrogel, equation (9) is used to calculate  $\gamma_p$ ; otherwise, equation (10) is used.

In the cell model of Gueron and Weisbuch<sup>15</sup>, every monomer of polyelectrolyte has a fixed charge; the distance between nearest fixed charges is equal to the monomer length. For the polymer chain inside a weaklyionizable hydrogel, only some of the monomers in the polymer chain are charged. We assume that the existence of neutral monomers does not affect the distribution of mobile ions around the fixed charges and that this distribution is described by the cell model with a characteristic distance equal to the monomer length (i.e. each charged monomer defines a unit cell). Therefore, we use monomer length b to calculate  $\xi$  in equations (9) and (10).

In equation (9) and equation (10), interactions between mobile ions are neglected. For consistency, these interactions are also neglected in the bath. Therefore, the bath becomes an ideal solution, and  $\mu^{\text{bath}}$  is given by

$$\mu^{\text{bath}} = \mu^0(P, T) + RT \ln C_{\text{b}} \tag{12}$$

 $\mu^0(P, T)$  is the chemical potential of the protein salt in a hypothetical ideal dilution solution when  $C_b = 1$ M at system temperature T and pressure P.

From thermodynamics

$$\mu^{0}(P + \Pi, T) - \mu^{0}(P, T) = \Pi \bar{V}$$
(13)

where  $\overline{V}$  is the partial molar volume of protein salt assumed to be independent of pressure. With equations (5)–(13), we calculate  $K_0$ , the equilibrium distribution of the protein between the bath and a non-crosslinked polyelectrolyte solution.

### CHARACTERIZATION OF THE HYDROGEL

To calculate the protein partition coefficient, we must determine two fundamental parameters of the hydrogel: the concentration of charges and the mean pore size. We now discuss calculation of these parameters.

#### Degree of ionization of a weak-ionizable hydrogel

Unlike simple weak acids or bases, where the dissociation constants only depend on temperature, the dissociation of ionizable monomers in a hydrogel is also a function of interactions between fixed charges. Here we assume that the titration behaviour of a hydrogel is the same as that of a polyelectrolyte chain in solution.

The dissociation constant of a polyelectrolyte is a function of the degree of ionization and the ionic strength of solution. The negative logarithm of an apparent dissociation constant  $pK_{app}$  is used to describe the polyelectrolyte titration behaviour. For a polyacid, it is defined as

$$pK_{\rm app} = pH + \log \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \tag{14}$$

Similarly, the apparent dissociation constant for a polybase is defined as

$$pK_{\rm app} = pH + \log \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}$$
(15)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are degree of ionization for a polyacid and a polybase, respectively.

Two groups of analytic theories are available to calculate  $pK_{app}$ . One group uses Manning's counterion condensation model<sup>16</sup> and its later development<sup>17,18</sup>. The other group uses models obtained from solution to the Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) equation<sup>19</sup>. Because no analytical solution to the PB equation is available, we use Manning's method. For  $\alpha \geq \overline{\xi}^{-1}$ 

$$pK_{app} = pK_0 + 0.434 - \log A^2 + 2\log(\alpha \bar{\xi}) - 0.434(\alpha \bar{\xi})^{-1} - \log C_s$$
(16)

where  $\bar{\xi} = l_{\rm B}/(\alpha b)$ ; A is a constant defined by

$$A^{2} = (8\pi) \times 10^{3} N_{\rm av} l_{\rm B}^{3} \tag{17}$$

where  $N_{av}$  is the Avogadro constant. For  $\alpha < \overline{\xi}^-$ 

$$pK_{\rm app} = pK_0 - 0.434 \{ 2\alpha \bar{\xi} \ln[1 - \exp(-A\alpha^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}C_{\rm s})] - AC_{\rm s}^{1/2} [\exp(A\alpha^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}C_{\rm s}^{1/2}) - 1]^{-1} \}$$
(18)

We assume that for a weakly ionizable gel, the dependence of the degree of ionization on pH can be related to the effect of pH on its swelling behaviour. For a weaklyacidic polyelectrolyte hydrogel at low pH, the ionizable groups in the hydrogel remain neutral, and the swelling ratio of hydrogel is small; however, at high pH, where all ionizable groups dissociate, the swelling ratio of the hydrogel is large because of repulsive interactions between the charged groups. When the hydrogel is half swollen, we postulate that half of the ionizable groups are charged. The pH at this mid-point is taken as the intrinsic dissociation constant  $pK_0$ . Figure 1 shows the dependence of the degree of ionization on solution pH for a weakly-acidic NIPA/SA gel and for a weakly-basic NIPA/DMA gel at 22.2 and 36.4°C.

#### Mean pore radius of a hydrogel

According to Peppas et al.<sup>20</sup>, the mean pore radius of a gel is given by

$$r_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\rm p}^{-1/3} \langle R_{\rm ee}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$$
(19)

where  $\langle R_{ee}^2 \rangle$ , the mean-square end-to-end distance of a polymer chain, is calculated using Yamakawa's formula<sup>21</sup>

$$\langle R_{ee}^2 \rangle = 2p^2 (\frac{l}{p} - 1 + e^{-l/p})$$
 (20)



Figure 1 Degree of ionization of weakly-ionizable monomers in a polyelectrolyte hydrogel vs pH of aqueous solution. Salt concentration  $C_s = 0.1 \text{ M}$  (1. SA at 22.2°C; 2. SA at 36.4°C; 3. DMA at 22.2°C; 4. DMA at 36.4°C)

where p is persistence length, and l is contour length

$$l = Nb_0 \tag{21}$$

where N is the number of monomers per polymer, and  $b_0$ is the length of one monomer.

The persistence length of a polyelectrolyte chain in solution can be calculated using the wormlike model of Skolnick and Fixman<sup>12</sup> or Odijk and Houwaart<sup>13</sup>. Because the former model neglects excluded-volume effects, it is more suitable for a stiff polyelectrolyte chain. We prefer Okijk's model that takes into account excluded volume effects; the persistence length of a polyelectrolyte chain is given by

$$p = p_0 + \frac{1}{12} l_{\rm B} N_{\rm c}^2 h(\kappa l)$$
 (22)

where  $p_0$  is the intrinsic persistence length of the polyelectrolyte;  $N_c$  is the number of charges per polymer chain;  $\kappa$  is the reciprocal Debye screening length defined by

$$\kappa^2 = l_{\rm B} \sum_i \rho_i z_i^2 \tag{23}$$

where  $\rho_i$  is the number density of mobile ion *i* in solution;  $z_i$  is the number of charges on ion *i*. Function *h* is given bv

$$h(y) = e^{-y}(e^{-y} + 5y^{-2} + 8y^{-3}) + 3y^{-2} - 8y^{-3}$$
(24)

We assume that  $p_0$  is equal to the persistence length of an aqueous neutral NIPA polymer which has the same number of monomers. The persistence length of a neutral polymer in solution can be related to its mean-square radius of gyration by<sup>2</sup>

$$\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2} = \frac{lp_0}{3} - p_0^2 + 2p_0^3/l - 2p_0^4 l^{-2} [1 - \exp(-l/p_0)]$$
(25)

Therefore, we can obtain  $p_0$  if we know  $\langle S^2 \rangle$  and *l*. To

estimate  $\langle S^2 \rangle$ , we use light-scattering data for the meansquare radius of gyration of NIPA polymer in an aqueous solution<sup>22</sup>. The dependence of the meansquare radius of gyration on the number of monomers can be obtained using the scaling law of de Gennes<sup>11</sup>. For temperatures below the polymer collapse temperature (36.4°C for NIPA polymer), the root-mean-square radius of gyration scales as

$$\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2} \sim N_0^{0.6} \tag{26}$$

at the collapse temperature,

$$\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2} \sim N_0^{0.5}$$
 (27)

and for temperatures higher than the collapse temperature

$$\langle S^2 \rangle^{1/2} \sim N_0^{1/3}$$
 (28)

From equation (21), we find l when we assume that the length of a monomer is 2.52 Å, which corresponds to the distance between alternate carbons in a polymer chain. With equations (19)–(28), we can calculate the mean-pore radius of a polyelectrolyte hydrogel. *Table 1* presents initial parameters for these gels of interest here. *Table 2* presents concentration of fixed charges in the gels and *Table 3* gives mean-pore radii as a function of pH.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 2 shows predicted and experimental<sup>7</sup> partition coefficients as a function of pH for cytochrome-C in a NIPA/SA gel. Swelling-ratio data for these gels at different temperature and pH are obtained from reference 23. For comparison, the result calculated by the ideal Donnan equilibrium is also shown; in that calculation, the mean ionic activity coefficient of the protein salt is

Table 1 Initial parameters for the gels studied

Gels	% T	%C	Ν	$\phi_0$	$\psi/V_0$
NIPA/10%SA	15	1	49.5	0.125	0.027
NIPA/10%DMA	15	1	49.5	0.127	0.025
NIPA	15	1	49.5	0.125	0.026

 $\%T = 100 \times \text{mass of all monomers (g) per volume of water (ml)}^{-1}$ %C = mole percent of crosslinkers in initial monomer mixture

%SA(DMA) = mole percent of SA(DMA) in initial monomer mixture

**Table 2** Concentration of fixed charges in swollen hydrogels  $(moll^{-1})$ 

pH	5	6	7	8
NIPA/10%SA(22.2°C)	0.002	0.012	0.027	0.035
NIPA/10%SA(36.4°C)	0.047	0.048	0.053	0.159
NIPA/10%DMA(22.2°C)	0.027	0.036	0.036	0.035
NIPA/10%DMA(36.4°C)	0.074	0.082	0.095	0.099

Table 3 Mean-pore radius of swollen hydrogels (Å)

pН	5	6	7	8
NIPA/10%SA(22.2°C)	59.4	63.	65.	65.3
NIPA/10%SA(36.4°C)	21.6	43.8	49.0	49.8
NIPA/10%DMA(22.2°C)	67.7	66.7	65.7	63.9
NIPA/10%DMA(36.4°C)	43.0	41.4	36.9	26.8
NIPA(22.2°C)	50.3	50.4	51.3	51.3
NIPA(36.4°C)	25.3	24.8	25.1	25.3

equal to unity. The partition coefficients calculated by the cell model approximate the experimental data; however, the ideal Donnan equilibrium fails at high pH because it neglects interactions between the protein and fixed charges, and because the charge density of the hydrogel increases with pH. *Figure 3* shows cytochrome-C partitioning when the gel collapses. Both figures show that the predicted results fall below those measured, probably because the calculations neglect adsorption of protein on the gel surface.

*Figure 4* shows partitioning of cytochrome-C in a NIPA/DMA gel. In the range of pH considered, both the protein and the hydrogel are positively charged. We expected a very low partition coefficient of protein in this



**Figure 2** Partition coefficient of cytochrome-C between a weaklyionizable hydrogel of NIPA/SA and an aqueous solution at 22.2°C. Solution ionic strength I = 0.1 M (a, ideal Donnan equilibrium; b, cell method; c, experimental data)



Figure 3 Partition coefficient of cytochrome-C between a weaklyionizable hydrogel of NIPA/SA and an aqueous solution at  $36.4^{\circ}$ C. Solution ionic strength is 0.1 M (a, ideal Donnan equilibrium; b, cell method; c, experimental data)



**Figure 4** Partition coefficient of cytochrome-C between a weaklyionizable hydrogel of NIPA/DMA and a aqueous solution at 22.2°C. Solution ionic strength is 0.1 M. (a, ideal Donnan equilibrium; b, cell method; c, experimental data)



**Figure 5** Partition coefficient of cytochrome-C between a weaklyionizable hydrogel of NIPA/DMA and an aqueous solution at 36.4°C. Solution ionic strength is 0.1 M. (a, ideal Donnan equilibrium; b, cell method; c, experimental data)

gel. The predicted results confirm our expectations. However, the experimental partition coefficients are larger than expected. The observed partition behaviour is unexpectedly confirmed with ideal Donnan equilibrium. Figure 5 also shows that results from the ideal Donnan equilibrium are closer to experiment than those from the cell model, perhaps because repulsive electrostatic interactions between the protein and the network compensate for the effects of adsorption. The hydrogel in Figure 5 is in a collapsed state. When pH rises, the charge density inside the gel decreases and the gel collapses further. In the collapsed state, adsorption of protein on the surface become more important. Therefore, predicted results from both methods are smaller than those from experiment.



Figure 6 Partition coefficient of cytochrome-C between a neutral NIPA hydrogel and an aqueous solution. Solution ionic strength is 0.1 M

Figure 6 shows partitioning of cytochrome-C into swollen and collapsed states of neutral NIPA gel. Only size exclusion and elastic pressure are taken into account here. The partition coefficients are independent of solution pH; predicted results follow those from experiment except at pH 8.0 at  $36.4^{\circ}$ C, perhaps because of partial denaturation of the protein under these conditions.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Calculation of cytochrome-C partitioning indicates that a cell model, combined with a size-exclusion model, can provide a first estimate of the partition coefficient. To improve prediction of solute partitioning between a charged hydrogel and the bath solution, we need an improved polyelectrolyte solution theory which can also take adsorption into account when the gel is not highly swollen.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. Additional support was given by the National Institutes of Health.

#### REFERENCES

- Tanaka, T. in 'Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering' (Eds H. F. Mark, N. M. Bikales, C. G. Overberger, J. I. Kroschwitz), Vol. 7, 2nd Edn, Wiley, New York, 1987, p. 514
- 2 Siegel, R. A. and Firestone, B. A. J. Controlled Release 1990, 11, 181
- 3 Park, T. G. and Hoffman, A. S. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 1988, 19, 1
- 4 Freitas, R. F. S. and Cussler, E. L. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1987, 42, 97
- 5 Schnitzer, J. E. Biophys. J. 1988, 54, 1065

- Sassi, A. P., Blanch, H. W. and Prausnitz, J. M. Appl. Polvm. 6 Sci. 1996, 59, 1337
- Sassi, A. P., Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, Berkely, 7 CA, 1994
- Sassi, A. P., Lee, S. H., Park, Y. H., Blanch, H. W. and Praus-8 nitz, J. M. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 1996, 60, 225
- 9 Alexandrowicz, Z. and Katchalsky, A. J. Polym. Sci., Part A 1963, 1, 3231
- 10 Katchalsky, A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1971, 26, 327
- de Gennes, P. 'Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics', Cornell 11 University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1979 12
- Skolnick, J. and Fixman, M. Macromolecules 1977, 10, 944 Odijk, T. and Houwaart, A. C. J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys. Edn 13 1978, 16, 627
- 14 Mark, J. E. and Erman B. 'Elastomeric Polymer Networks', Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1992
- Gueron, M. and Weisbuch, G. J. Phys. Chem. 1979, 83, 1991 15
- Manning, G. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1981, **85**, 870 Woodbury, C. P. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, **97**, 3623 16
- 17
- Cesaro, A., Paoletti, S. and Benegas, J. E. Biophys. Chem. 1991, 18 39, 1
- 19 Katchalsky, A. and Miller, I. R. J. Polym. Sci. 1954, 13, 57 20 Peppas, N. A., Moynihan, H. J. and Lucht, L. M. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. 1985, 19, 397
- Yamakawa, H. 'Modern Theory of Polymer Solutions', Harper 21 & Row, New York, 1971
- 22 Kubota, K., Fujishige, S. and Ando, I. J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 5154